



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR   | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.         | CONFIRMATION NO.       |
|---|-------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|
| 10/671,889  | 09/29/2003  | Fred Gehrung Gustavson | YOR920030170US1             | 8009                   |
| 48150 7590 02/06/2008<br>MCGINN INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW GROUP, PLLC<br>8321 OLD COURTHOUSE ROAD<br>SUITE 200<br>VIENNA, VA 22182-3817 |             |                        | EXAMINER<br>VICARY, KEITH E |                        |
|   |             |                        | ART UNIT<br>2183            | PAPER NUMBER           |
|   |             |                        | MAIL DATE<br>02/06/2008     | DELIVERY MODE<br>PAPER |

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Interview Summary

Application No.

10/671,889

Applicant(s)

GUSTAVSON ET AL.

Examiner

Keith Vicary

Art Unit

2183

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Keith Vicary.

(3) Frederick Cooperrider.

(2) Dr. Gustavson.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 28 January 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Gustavson.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

  
Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicants and examiner discussed the current set of claims. Examiner conveyed to the applicants why he believed that the limitations of "standard format" and "nonstandard format" were indefinite. Examiner acknowledged applicant's intent to elaborate upon the "standard format" and "nonstandard format" and pull in the essential subject matter from an application incorporated by reference into the instant application. Examiner informed applicant that the amendment to the specification, while helpful for understanding purposes, might be considered new matter depending on further analysis.



EDDIE CHAN  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100